

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT BRANCH

Washington, D.C. 20570

Via email

May 23, 2023

Re: FOIA Request NLRB-2023-001327

Dear Anais Holland-Rudd (Aaronson Rappaport Feinstein & Deutsch LLP):

This is in response to your request, under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, received on April 28, 2023, in which you seek all documents related to investigations of Analytical Bio Treatment between the years of 2019-2021 and all documents in *Analytical Bio Treatment*, Case No. 22-RC-013043. You assumed financial responsibility for the processing of your request in the amount of \$37.00.

We acknowledged your request on April 28, 2023.

A search was conducted in the Agency's electronic casehandling system, NxGen, which generally maintains NLRB case records from 2011 to present. This search did not locate any responsive records related to Analytical Bio Treatment between the years of 2019-2021 or any documents in *Analytical Bio Treatment*, Case No. 22-RC-013043.

As part of our due diligence in searching for older records that pre-date our current electronic casehandling system, we directed a search inquiry to the Agency's Regional 22 office for potentially responsive paper records that may still be maintained. The Region's search has located 19 pages of responsive, releasable records from the requested case file, which are attached.

Please be advised that pursuant to the Agency's record retention and disposition policy, paper records are usually retained for a six-year period, which commences at the close of the calendar year during which the case is closed. The records are then destroyed, unless they are selected for permanent retention based on their legal significance. Given the age of the requested case, a member of the FOIA staff searched the list of permanently retained cases maintained by the Agency's Case Records Unit for cases that closed between 1982 and 2010, and it was confirmed that the requested case was not selected for permanent retention. As such, the records located by the Region represent the only retained records related to the requested case file.

After a review, I have determined that certain information in the attached records is exempt from disclosure under Exemptions 6 and 7(C) of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6) and (b)(7)(C)). Specifically, redactions have been made to protect the privacy interests of individuals named in the records. These redactions were made pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6, which pertains to information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, and FOIA Exemption 7(C), which pertains to records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, the release of which could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6) and (b)(7)(C). Please note that the certain pages in the attached records are of poor quality and is difficult to read. These records are the best available and only copy in the Agency's possession.

Regarding FOIA Exemption 5, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5), a two-page record, consisting of a pre-decisional internal memorandum, is being withheld. Exemption 5 allows agencies to withhold "inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency," and covers records that would "normally be privileged in the civil discovery context." *NLRB v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.*, 421 U.S. 132, 149 (1975); *Tax Analysts v. IRS*, 117 F.3d 607, 616 (D.C. Cir. 1997). The deliberative process and the attorney work-product privileges are two of the primary privileges incorporated into Exemption 5.

The deliberative process privilege protects the internal decision-making processes of government agencies to safeguard the quality of agency decisions. Competitive Enter. Inst. v. OSTP, 161 F. Supp.3d 120, 128 (D.D.C. 2016). The basis for this privilege is to protect and encourage the creative debate and candid discussion of alternatives. Jordan v. U.S. Dep't. of Justice, 591 F.2d 753, 772 (D.C. Cir.1978). Two fundamental requirements must be satisfied before an agency may properly withhold a record pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. First, the record must be predecisional, i.e., prepared in order to assist an agency decision-maker in arriving at the decision. Renegotiation Bd. v. Grumman Aircraft Eng'g Corp., 421 U.S. 168, 184 (1975); Judicial Watch, Inc. v. FDA, 449 F.3d 141, 151 (D.C. Cir. 2006). Second, the record must be deliberative, i.e., "it must form a part of the agency's deliberative process in that it makes recommendations or expresses opinions on legal or policy matters." Judicial Watch, Inc. v. FDA, 449 F.3d at 151 (quoting Coastal States Gas Corp. v. U.S. Dep't of Energy, 617 F.2d 854, 866 (D.C. Cir. 1980)). To satisfy these requirements, the agency need not "identify a specific decision in connection with which a memorandum is prepared. Agencies are . . . engaged in a continuing process of examining their policies; this process will generate memoranda containing recommendations which do not ripen into agency decisions; and the lower courts should be wary of interfering with this process." Sears, Roebuck & Co., 421 U.S. at 151 n.18 (1975). Moreover, the protected status of a predecisional record is not altered by the subsequent issuance of a decision,

see, e.g., Fed. Open Mkt. Comm. v. Merrill, 443 U.S. 340, 360 (1979); Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. DHS, 384 F. Supp. 2d 100, 112-13 (D.D.C. 2005) or by the agency opting not to make a decision. See Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Clinton, 880 F. Supp. 1, 13 (D.D.C. 1995), aff'd, 76 F.3d 1232 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (citing Russell v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 682 F.2d 1045 (D.C. Cir. 1982).

The attorney work-product privilege protects records and other memoranda that reveal an attorney's mental impressions and legal theories that were prepared by an attorney, or a non-attorney supervised by an attorney, in contemplation of litigation. See United States v. Nobles, 422 U.S. 225, 239 n.13 (1975); Hickman v. Taylor, 329 U.S. 495, 509-10 (1947). The attorney work-product privilege extends to records prepared in anticipation of both pending litigation and foreseeable litigation and even when no specific claim is contemplated at the time the attorney prepared the material. Schiller v. NLRB, 964 F.2d 1205, 1208 (D.C. Cir. 1992). Furthermore, the privilege protects any part of a record prepared in anticipation of litigation, not just the portions concerning opinions and legal theories, see Judicial Watch v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 432 F.3d 366, 371 (D.C. Cir. 2005), and is intended to protect an attorney's opinions, thoughts, impressions, interpretations, analyses and strategies. *Id.; see also Wolfson v.* United States, 672 F. Supp.2d 20, 29 (D.D.C. 2009). See Judicial Watch, 432 F.3d at 371 (finding that an agency need not segregate and disclose non-exempt material if a record is fully protected as work product). Additionally, the protection provided by Exemption 5 for attorney work-product records is not subject to defeat even if a requester could show a substantial need for the information and undue hardship in obtaining it from another source. See FTC v. Grolier, Inc., 462 U.S. 19, 28 (1983). Further, protection against the disclosure of work product records extends even after litigation is terminated. Id.

Here, the responsive record being withheld meets the requirements for Exemption 5 protection under both the deliberative process and attorney work-product privileges. It is internal and predecisional. It reflects the views of the General Counsel and her Regional staff concerning prosecutorial policies and strategies in the processing of this unfair labor practice case. Since it contains proposed legal strategy in the case, this internal casehandling record clearly reflects the deliberative and consultative process of the Agency that Exemption 5 protects from disclosure. *Sears, Roebuck and Co.*, 421 U.S. at 150-52. Additionally, the content of the record is also attorney work-product, as it reflects legal analysis and/or opinions of the General Counsel's staff and was created to assist superiors in their decision-making process, in anticipation of possible litigation. Accordingly, the record is being withheld in its entirety.

For the purpose of assessing fees, we have placed you in Category A, commercial use requester. This category refers to requests "from or on behalf of a person who seeks information for a use or purpose that furthers the commercial, trade, or profit interests of the requester or the person on whose

behalf the request is made, which can include furthering those interests through litigation." NLRB Rules and Regulations, 29 C.F.R. § 102.117(d)(1)(v). Consistent with this fee category, you "will be assessed charges to recover the full direct costs of searching for, reviewing for release, and duplicating the records sought." 29 C.F.R. § 102.117(d)(2)(ii)(A). Charges are \$9.25 per quarter-hour of professional time. 29 C.F.R. § 102.117(d)(2)(i).

One hour of professional time was expended in searching for and reviewing for release the requested material. Accordingly, please remit \$37.00.

Payment Instructions: We are no longer accepting checks or money orders as payment. To submit payment for your FOIA request, please use www.pay.gov. From the www.pay.gov home page, scroll down to the bottom left corner to select "Pay a FOIA Request." Click "See all options" and go to "Filter By Agency" to check the box for the National Labor Relations Board. Continue following instructions on the website. Please remember to include the Invoice Number, which is the NLRB FOIA Case No., and the amount you intend to pay. Further, please be advised that all FOIA payments must paid in full before any future FOIA requests are processed.

You may contact Joseph Mullaney, the Attorney-Advisor who processed your request, at (202) 273-3863 or by email at Joseph.Mullaney@nlrb.gov, as well as the Agency's FOIA Public Liaison, for any further assistance and/or to discuss any aspect of your request. The FOIA Public Liaison, in addition to the Attorney-Advisor, can further explain responsive and releasable agency records, suggest agency offices that may have responsive records, and/or discuss how to narrow the scope of a request in order to minimize fees and processing times. The contact information for the FOIA Public Liaison is:

Kristine M. Minami, FOIA Public Liaison National Labor Relations Board 1015 Half Street, S.E., 4th Floor Washington, D.C. 20570 Email: FOIAPublicLiaison@nlrb.gov

Telephone: (202) 273-0902 Fax: (202) 273-FOIA (3642)

After first contacting the Agency, you may additionally contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA dispute resolution services it offers. The contact information for OGIS is:

Office of Government Information Services National Archives and Records Administration 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS

College Park, Maryland 20740-6001

Email: ogis@nara.gov Telephone: (202) 741-5770 Toll free: (877) 684-6448 Fax: (202) 741-5769

You may obtain a review of this determination under the NLRB Rules and Regulations, 29 C.F.R. § 102.117(c)(2)(v), by filing an administrative appeal with the Division of Legal Counsel (DLC) through FOIAonline at: https://foiaonline.gov/foiaonline/action/public/home or by mail or email at:

Nancy E. Kessler Platt, Chief FOIA Officer National Labor Relations Board 1015 Half Street, S.E., 4th Floor Washington, D.C. 20570 Email: DLCFOIAAppeal@nlrb.gov

Any appeal must be postmarked or electronically submitted within 90 calendar days of the date of this letter. Any appeal should contain a complete statement of the reasons upon which it is based.

Please be advised that contacting any Agency official (including the Attorney-Advisor, FOIA Officer, or the FOIA Public Liaison) and/or OGIS does not stop the 90-day appeal clock and is not an alternative or substitute for filing an administrative appeal.

Sincerely,

Isl Synta E. Keeling

Synta E. Keeling FOIA Officer

Attachment: (19 pages)